



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/632,963

08/04/2003

Hyung-Sok Yeo

249/398

4479

27849 7590 10/02/2008

LEE & MORSE, P.C.

3141 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE

SUITE 500

FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042

EXAMINER

NASSER, ROBERT L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3735

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/632,963

Applicant(s)

YEO ET AL.

Examiner

ROBERT L. NASSER

Art Unit

3735

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period **will** apply and **will** expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply **will**, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6, 8-20 and 22-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15 and 22-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 16 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6, 8, 17-20, 29-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

The examiner regrets that upon further consideration and search, the following rejection is deemed applicable to the claims. As such, the finality of the previous office action is withdrawn and the following non-final rejection entered in its place. The examiner sincerely apologizes for any inconvenience caused.

Claims 9-15 and 22-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/1/2006.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura et al 6154667 in view of Gavish 4850574 and Manor 4017028. Miura shows a photoplethysmographic probe including a light source unit 5 that is adapted to contact the body (see figure 12) and a photodetector unit 5 facing the light source on the same axis. Since the device is movable any axis can be a vertical axis. Miura et al also shows a body 1 with parallel arms having space for receiving the object, and a pressure application unit, i.e. spring mechanism 10 for applying pressure to the object

through the light source/detector. The pressure application unit is not on a vertical line passing through the source and detector. Gavish teaches a probe wherein the pressure application unit is in the same axis of the source and a detector (figure 1). Since both references teach methods to apply pressure to a digit between parallel arms, they are equivalents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Miura to include the pressurization unit of Gavish, as it is merely the substitution of one known pressurization means for another. The combination lacks an elastic member between the nut and the source. Manor is selected from a plurality of references that teach that a tension adjusting mechanism having an adjustment screw connected to a nut, where the nut connects to a spring to control the applied tension is a known tension adjusting mechanism. As such, it would have been obvious to modify the combination to use such a tension controlling mechanism, as it is merely the substitution of one tension controlling mechanism for another. Claim 2 is rejected in that the examiner takes official notice that LEDs are suitable for the purposes of Miura et al. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Miura to use an LED as it is merely the selection of a well known source for its purposes. Claim 3 is rejected in that the photodetector converts the detected light into a current. Claim 4 is rejected in that the pressurization device is also in the optical axis. Claim 5 is rejected in that the pressurization device of Gavish is a bolt and nut.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura et al and Gavish and Manor, as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Ogawa et al. (US Patent No. 5427093). Ogawa et al. teach the use of a heat-dissipating

Art Unit: 3735

plate above the light source (figure 1 reference 9). If the heat-dissipating plate is located above the light source and the nut is attached to the light source it is inherent that the plate is between the nut and the light source. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present inventor to modify the combination above to include a heat-dissipating plate similar to that of Ogawa et al. in order to prevent a low temperature burn to the patient.

Claims 17-20 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura et al 3810460 in view of Gavish 4850574, Manor, and Hausman et al 4883353. In addition to the features of Miura and Gavish discussed above, Hausman teaches that it is known to display the intensity of the waveforms in a PPG device (see cover figure, for example). Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the combination to display the intensity, as it is merely the choice of a known display technique in the art. Claims 18-20 and 29 are rejected for the reasons given above. Claim 30 is rejected in that the examiner takes official notice that microprocessors are well known to be used as controllers and hence that it would have been obvious to modify the combination to use a microprocessor. Claim 31 is rejected in that in that the examiner takes official notice that it is well known to digitally process the signals and that such requires a a/d converter.

Claim 16 is allowable in that none of the art has the pressure application break button.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert L. Nasser Jr/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735

RLN  
September 27, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/632,963  
Art Unit: 3735

Page 6